Item B. 2	07/00075/FUL	Refuse Full Planning Permission
Case Officer	Miss Helen Green	
Ward	Lostock	
Proposal	Retrospective application for perimeter fence.	
Location	41 Wray Crescent Ulnes Walton Leyland PR26 8NH	
Applicant	S E Chorlton	
Proposal	metre high perimeter fence Crescent, Ulnes Walton. Th	e retrospect for the erection of a 1.9 e on land to the north of 41 Wray e property is located on a corner plot the fence encloses an area of open erty.
		ted within an area of Green Belt as Chorley Borough Local Plan Review
Policy	DC1 – Development in the G GN5 - Building Design a Features and Natural Habita	nd Retaining Existing Landscape
Planning History	There is no relevant planning	g history
Consultations	Parish Council – No comments received	
Representations	None received	
Assessment		in issues to take into account are the streetscene and the character of the of the Green Belt.
	Wray Crescent by approxim The fence extends to the no property adjacent to The Ca length. The fence measu	s forward from the front elevation of 41 hately 8 metres towards the highway. rth and down the side elevation of the useway by approximately 25 metres in ures 1.9 metres in height and is ce style timber panels and posts.
	nature. The residential esta that many of the properties	aracterised by its predominantly open te has been designed in such a way have open front garden areas, which vay with minimal or no boundary
		of 41 Wray Crescent enclosing the nt and side of the property. The fence

is positioned hard up to the highway and does not allow for any landscape planting to the front of the fence. It is considered that the location of a fence in this position has a detrimental impact on the open characteristics of the surrounding area.

Whilst there may be other examples of boundary treatments within the estate these are all different in nature to the fence subject of this application by virtue of their height and design and location within the streetscene.

It is considered that the fence on a corner plot within the streetscene forms an incongruous feature within the streetscene and is out of keeping with the general character of the area and serves to detach the applicants property from the other properties within the streetscene.

The application site is located within an area designated as Green Belt as defined on the Adopted Chorley Borough Local Plan Review Proposals Map. PPG2 states that the visual amenities of the Green Belt should not be injured by proposals for development, which although would not prejudice the purposes of including land in Green Belts, might be visually detrimental by reason of their siting, materials or design. Although the fence is located on the boundary of an existing property, it is considered that it would harm the visual amenity of the Green Belt by reason of its siting, material and design for the reasons explained above.

Conclusion The fence as proposed by virtue of its height, design and position on a corner plot will be out of character with the surrounding area which is predominantly open in nature and will have a detrimental impact on the streetscene, and is therefore contrary to policy GN5, which seeks to ensure that the design of proposed development are well related to their surroundings.

It is considered that the fence by reason of its height, siting and design would harm the visual amenity of the Green Belt and is therefore contrary to Policy DC1 of the Adopted Chorley Borough Local Plan Review.

Taking the above points into account it is recommended that the application should be refused.

Recommendation: Refuse Full Planning Permission

Reasons

1. The proposal, by reason of the total height, siting and design of the boundary fence, would be visually obtrusive and detrimental to both the appearance and character of the street and the amenity of the area. This development is inappropriate as it does not relate well to the immediate surroundings, which reflects a more open character with minimal boundary treatments. The proposal is therefore contrary to policy GN5 of the Adopted Chorley Borough Local Plan Review which seeks to ensure that the design of proposed development are well related to their surroundings.

2. The proposal is located within an area designated as Green Belt as such the visual amenities of the Green Belt should not be injured by proposals for development, which although would not prejudice the purposes of including land in Green Belts, might be visually detrimental by reason of their siting, materials or design. It is considered that the fence by reason of its height, siting and design would harm the visual amenity of the

Green Belt and is therefore contrary to Policy DC1 of the Adopted Chorley Borough Local Plan Review.